Supplementary Question and Answer.

Yelandur Srinivasa Iyengar.—

With reference to item 1, it is not clear from the reply whether it is sarvamanya inam or not. It is understood that in the Government Order of 16th February 1896 it is stated that it is Sarva inam.

First Member of Council.—

There is some doubt about it. And the matter will be investigated.

At this stage MR. PUTTASWAMI raised a point of order and said that this question was not passed at the District Meeting and if it had been included as a special interest he did not consider that Yelandur Jahgir was a special interest.

THE PRESIDENT said that the point would be examined.

MR. PUTTASWAMI asked whether the Deputy Commissioner was right in his action.

THE THIRD MEMBER OF COUNCIL said that the question would be examined and a clear ruling would be given for future guidance.

MR. PUTTASWAMI insisted that a ruling on the question should be given immediately.

THE THIRD MEMBER OF COUNCIL replied that it was a small matter and that it might be left at that.

MESSRS. A. NARAYANA IYENGAR (Hassan Taluk) and CHENNABASAPPA (Arsikere Taluk).—

Q.—30. Will the Government be pleased to state: —

(*a*) Whether the committee appointed as per G.O. No. 2710-66—Legis. 12-26-1, dated 10th August 1926, to consider the question of passing a Regulation to prevent the slaughter of cows, have submitted their report ?

(*b*) How many meetings were held by them ?

(*c*) If they have not submitted their report as yet, will the Government remind them to do so at an early date ?

(*d*) If they are not in favour of passing the Regulation, will the Government do something to prevent the slaughter as promised by the Dewan Saheb when the resolution was passed in this august Assembly, *re* the passing of a Regulation to prevent the slaughter of cows ?

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, LAW DEPARTMENT.—

A.—30. (*a*) The Committee have not yet submitted their report.

(*b*) Seven meetings including one sub-committee meeting.

(*c*) Government consider it unnecessary to send a reminder.

(*d*) The question is premature, as Government have not yet come to any decision in the matter.

Supplementary Question and Answer.

Mr. Narayana Iyengar.—

When can the report be expected ?

Legal Remembrancer.—

It may come in about 2 weeks.

MESSRS. A. NARAYANA IYENGAR (Hassan Taluk) and CHENNABASAPPA (Arsikere Taluk).—

Q.—31. Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) Whether it is true that the Government have passed orders to the effect that no Bench Magistrates' Courts will try cases in which either party is a Government servant?

(*b*) If so, what is the reason to pass such an order ?

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, LAW DEPARTMENT.—

A.—31. (*a*) The attention of the member is invited to the proviso to Rule 1 of the rules issued with Notification No. P. 322—Cts. 308 of 26-7, dated 14/16-7-1928, under which a Bench Court may try such cases only with the permission of the District Magistrate. (*Vide* Appendix VIII.)

(*b*) The rule is based on the analogy of rules existing in certain British Indian Provinces and is considered suitable.

Supplementary Questions and Answers.

Mr. Narayana Iyengar —

When a Bench Magistrate can try any respectable private person why should a peon not be tried by it ?